

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,902	02/20/2004	Cheol-jin Park	Q77979	5335	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 11/19/2007 ON, PLLC		EXAM	INER	
2100 PENNSY	2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			DINH, TUAN T	
,				PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2841		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/781,902	PARK, CHEOL-JIN			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tuan T. Dinh	2841			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	l l			
	• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 4.8	MONTHES OF THEFTY (20) PAYO			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become A	ICATION. In reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.A	ugust 2007.	•			
2a)⊠		action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-28 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9,12,13,15 and 18-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) 10 and 23 is/are allowed.		• .			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5,14,16,22 and 24-28 is/are rejected	d.				
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
<i>∽</i> /1	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	,			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau		<u> </u>			
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachmen	• •		·			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	_	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •			

10/781,902 Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 14, 16, 22, 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCutchan et al. (US 5,864,478) in view of Handforth et al. (US 6,061,241).

As to claims 1-5, 14, 16, McCutchan et al. discloses an inverter power module as shown in figure 1, comprising: a main board (11, column 2, line 52) having a power block (15, column 2, line 56, and 17, column 2, line 59) is arranged, the power block further including a plurality of first elements through hole-mounted on a front side of the main board and a sub-board mounting part (17) (claim 2); and a sub-board (13, column 2, line 54) having component is arranged, see figure 1, and is mounted on the main board (11), the sub-board mounting part (17) comprising at least one connector and the sub-board comprises a pin header (19, column 2, line 60) corresponding to the connector.

McCutchan et al. does not specific disclose an inverter block, which has second elements surface-mounted on one side <u>or</u> on front-back sides of the sub-board.

Art Unit: 2841

Handforth et al. shows an inverter module (200), the module having component elements (24), which is a FET to control heat dissipation contribution, ASIC (22) and feed resistors, and having a plurality of surface mounted components mounted on a substrate (12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a teaching of Handforth et al. employed in the module of McCutchan et al. in order to achieve high productivity and functions operated in the circuit board.

Regarding claims 22, 24, McCutchan et al. as modified by Handforth shows the inverter block (200) (the block includes a FET 24, ASIC 22, and resistors) capable of being function to invert DC to AC.

Regarding claims 25-28, McCutchan et al. as modified by Handforth discloses the inverter block comprised an inverter-IC (FET 24 or ASIC 22), and two switching FET (24) and two protection elements (relays).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 10, 23 are allowed.
- 4. Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: neither the references cited nor the cited of references do teach or suggest the first elements

Art Unit: 2841

comprise a line filter; X-cap; Y-cap; a power-IC; an input rectifying block comprising a first switching FET; an output rectifying block comprising a diode, an inductor, and a capacitor, and a feedback circuit block comprising a photo-coupler, a power transformer, and an inverter transformer, and the second elements comprise a dimming element; an inverter-IC; a second switching FET; a third switching FET; a first protection element, and a second protection element.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 08/29/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues:

For claims 1 and 16, McCutchan and Handforth do not disclose "an invert block". Examiner disagrees because in Handforth that teaches a module (200) including a FET (24), ASIC (22) and feed resistors capable being perform an invert function of the board, so at least in FET element (24) do/does invert current that applied into the module (200) to be invert signal or date from the module (200) to the board.

For claim 22, applicant argues that card module (200) does not invert DC-AC.

10/781,902 Art Unit: 2841

Examiner disagrees because McCutchan et al. as modified by Handforth shows these structure.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gutierrez F. Diego can be reached on 571-272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/781,902 Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

11)

Tuan Dinh November 10, 2007. TUAN T. DINH PRIMARY EXAMINER

n/10/07